

## IMPROVING THE STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE FROM THE EXPECTATION AND QUALITY OF LEARNING

Khusaini Khusaini<sup>1\*</sup>  
Budi Luhur University  
Ciledug Raya St., Jakarta Selatan  
Indonesia 12260  
kkhusaini541@gmail.com

Ali Sandy Mulya<sup>2</sup>  
Budi Luhur University  
Ciledug Raya St., Jakarta Selatan  
Indonesia 12260  
alisandy@outlook.com

### ABSTRACT

This research aims to measure expectations, gender, learning quality, and control variables on the performance of the undergraduate program of Accounting at Tangerang Municipality. The target population is 1,898 students, with 331 of them becoming the sample. The sampling technique was Disproportionate Random Sampling and used a Binary Probit Regression. The distribution of the questionnaires used a Google Form. The results showed that the expectations and quality of learning had a significant effect on improving performance. On the other hand, gender was insignificant. This study's findings contribute to universities always maintaining student expectations and the quality of learning so that graduates have good performance.

Keywords: Accounting; Undergraduate Student; Gender; Tangerang; Binary Probit Regression

### ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menguji harapan, gender, kualitas pembelajaran, dan variabel kontrol terhadap kinerja mahasiswa program sarjana S-1 Akuntansi di Perguruan Tinggi Kota Tangerang. Populasi sebanyak 1.898 mahasiswa dan ukuran sampel sebanyak 331 sampel. Teknik sampling adalah disproporsional random sampling dan menggunakan analisis binary probit regression. Distribusi kuesioner menggunakan google form. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa harapan dan kualitas pembelajaran berpengaruh signifikan terhadap peningkatan kinerja mahasiswa, sebaliknya gender tidak signifikan. Temuan penelitian ini memberikan kontribusi kepada perguruan tinggi untuk selalu menjaga ekspektasi mahasiswa dan mutu pembelajaran agar para lulusannya memiliki kinerja yang baik.

Kata Kunci : Akuntansi; Mahasiswa Program Sarjana; Jenis Kelamin; Tangerang; Regresi Binary Probit  
JEL Classification: A22; M49



**ASSETS**  
Jurnal Akuntansi  
dan Pendidikan  
Vol. 10 No. 2  
Page 147-164  
Madiun, October 2021  
p-ISSN: 2302-6251  
e-ISSN: 2477-4995

Article History  
Submitted:  
February 10, 2021  
Accepted:  
October 29, 2021

\*corresponding author

## INTRODUCTION

One of the critical issues today is how to prepare graduates who have good performance to compete in the global economy. Because of the failure to prepare students who perform well and sacrifice emotional costs, school fees also reduce the provision of professional personnel (Gracia & Jenkins, 2002). Therefore, good academic performance serves as a stepping stone for accounting students in their quest to pursue a career in accounting (Ahinful et al., 2019). A good student's performance can be achieved through evaluation activities and competitions or tournaments outside the campus (Arumsari, 2017). Students are taught accounting concepts and principles to develop strong analytical skills (Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2020) and language, business, and information technology skills (Papageorgiou, 2017). Students' performance in college can be used as a basis for accounting students in their pursuit of a career in accounting (Ahinful et al., 2019). Universities are responsible for creating high-quality and outstanding students. The goals attain job market standards and reach the demand for the accountant labor market, particularly in developing countries (Bakre & Lauwo, 2016; Dalcı et al., 2013).

Many previous researchers have investigated the determinants of students' performance in accounting studies, such as students' performance-related ability to change, adapt, make difficult decisions, learn from mistakes, and control changes in belief and choice (Feldman et al., 2016). Meanwhile, other researchers included locus of control, learning methods and learning resources (Litasari & Pustikaningsih, 2019), expectations, volition, interests, and attitude during learning (Ahinful et al., 2019; Byrne & Flood, 2005), gender and personality (Fallan & Opstad, 2014), gender and parental style (Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2018a).

Expectation is something that someone or an individual wants to achieve in the future. Vroom (1964) considered expectations as the possibility of a subjective action-oriented to results or performance. A process of individual thinking towards goals, motivation, and ways to achieve goals is also considered a theory of expectation (Feldman et al., 2016). The effect of students' expectations on the performance of undergraduate accounting students is explained by choice theory. Using expectation theory in predicting academic performance, students have realistic expectations of acquiring broad knowledge and skills development when studying higher education (Arquero et al., 2009; Byrne & Flood, 2005). Research conducted by (Ahinful et al., 2019; Alindra, 2015; Guney, 2009) concluded a significant correlation between students' expectations and academic performance. It infers that students who expect better results should make a reasonable effort.

On the other side, expectations did not correlate to students' performance. As concluded in previous studies, students who expect to succeed in the future do not have a significant correlation with final grades (Eikner & Montondon, 2001). Byrne & Flood (2008) also confirmed previous studies that students' high expectations did not significantly correlate with their performance. The results also found that expectations insignificantly correlated with the results of a course named Financial Accounting I (Arquero et al., 2009). Students with higher abilities have higher expectations of general skills learning outcomes (Smith et al., 2018).

The role of gender in improving the performance of accounting students is critical. Previous studies have shown that gender significantly affects improving student performance (Alanzi, 2018; Fallan & Opstad, 2014; Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2018b; Wijaya & Amah, 2012). Moreover, (Aditya & Hasibuan, 2020; Guney, 2009) stated that gender differences would impact student academic performance, including career choices and learning motivation. These differences are not only from the

academic side but also in determining the learning method to improve their performances. However, other previous studies generated the opposite research findings, that gender differences do not have a significant correlation between improving the performance of accounting students (Feldman et al., 2016; Kukreja & Al Ali, 2013).

Learning in the classroom also determines changes in students' performance because it involves all the resources in the classroom. Previous studies found that the quality of instructional learning could improve academic achievement (Fauth et al., 2014; Kunter et al., 2013). Meanwhile, personalized learning that focuses on teachers' teaching has significantly improved students' achievement (Pane et al., 2017). The quality of learning as measured by knowledge activation and the carrying capacity of the classroom climate affected the improvement of student competence in accounting significantly (Helm, 2015). Finally, Parsons et al. (2020) also found that learning program activities and teachers' quality, using the proper method, could improve the accounting skills of graduates. However, there are studies with opposite findings. The finding showed that time allocation and characteristics of learning and teachers at schools did not statistically significantly affect educational outcomes (Glewwe et al., 2011).

Based on the studies explained above, there are important variables that affect students' performance; the quality of learning in class. The authors argue that the better the learning quality process, the more students can improve their knowledge, understanding, and accounting practice. Several previous studies still focus on predictor variables such as demographic variables, the role of parents, motivations, expectations, and volitions to predict student performance. Therefore, the presence of this study is to add the variable of learning quality (Fauth et al., 2014; Kunter et al., 2013; Li et al., 2020; Longobardi et al., 2018; Praetorius et al., 2018) as one of the predictors. Learning quality variables will further complement previous research and contribute to the literature about student performance. In addition, the use of variables investigated by previous researchers showed gaps or inconsistencies, such as expectations, gender, and learning quality. The authors examine expectation, gender, and the quality of learning variables to the student's performance in the accounting program.

## METHOD

This study applied a cross-sectional survey approach. The target population size in this study was all students registered and active in the undergraduate Accounting program at the Tangerang Municipality for the academic year 2015/2016 – 2019/2020. The target population was universities with an accounting study program, had graduates, accredited B, and their leaders allowed them to be the object of research. Universities that meet the criteria for the target population are 2 out of 12 units. We decided 2 (two) universities were examined, namely the Muhammadiyah University of Tangerang (UMT) and the Islamic University of Syekh-Yusuf (UNIS). The target population in this study was 1.898 students. The authors determined to select disproportionate random sampling because UMT students were almost five times larger than the number of UNIS students. It was not proportionate (Sugiyono, 2017). The sample size was determined by using the Slovin formula with the sampling error was 5%. The formulation process gets a sample size of as many as 331 samples. We decided to distribute the questionnaire to all active and registered students at the universities using Google Form (via WA group). The questionnaire was returned and



filled with 343 units. In addition, we selected a questionnaire so that 331 samples were obtained. The contributors from the Islamic University of Syekh-Yusuf were as much as 34.44% or 114 of the total sample. In comparison, the sample size from the Muhammadiyah University of Tangerang is 65.56% or 217 samples.

The authors also conducted a trial as a pilot test on 90 (ninety) respondents from the Syekh-Yusuf Islamic University on 1 - 20 December 2020, determined by purposive sampling. The students who were the respondents for the pilot test were multi-level and Accounting study program students. The students of the two universities were identical (according to the characteristics of the population). The pilot test mentioned earlier referred to the tests of validity (Biserial Correlation) and reliability. The validity test results showed that the instrument for questioning student performance, expectations, motivation, volitions, preparedness, interest in learning, and learning attitudes variables was valid at  $\alpha < 0.05$ .

Meanwhile, there is 1 question is invalid from the variable of parental style and four questions from learning quality. It was invalid five questions and was not used. Furthermore, the measurement of the dependent and independent variables can be seen in Table 1 below.

**Table 1. Operationalization of Variables**

Variables	Conceptual Definition	Indicator	Scale
<u>Dependent Variables:</u>			
Student's Performance (SP) (Ahinful et al., 2019; Winaryati, 2018; Yuniarti et al., 2020)	Results or outcomes are obtained by individuals whose students actively participate in teaching and learning activities within a certain period.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Value of achievements</li> <li>2. Competence</li> <li>3. Current performance linked to the previous performance</li> <li>4. Current performance linked to student expectations,</li> <li>5. Personal traits</li> <li>6. Honesty and creativity</li> <li>7. Performance trends.</li> </ol>	Ordinal Likert (1 - 6)
Probit of Student's Performance (PSP)	The probability of a high or low-performing student.	If performance value $\geq$ average = 1, and other = 0	Nominal
<u>Independent Variables:</u>			
Expectation (Exp) (Ahinful et al., 2019; Arquero et al., 2009)	The expectation is a thought simulated in achieving goals by utilizing motivation within or from outside as leverage so that individuals get results in the ways they do.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Socioeconomic motives,</li> <li>2. Self-confidence,</li> <li>3. The expected results,</li> <li>4. Awards for students' ability to receive awards,</li> <li>5. Student's mental framework.</li> </ol>	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)
Motivation (Mot) (Arquero et al.,	A power that comes from within or outside of the person,	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Intrinsic motivation</li> <li>2. Extrinsic motivation</li> </ol>	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)

<http://doi.org/10.25273/jap.v10i2.8634>

Variables	Conceptual Definition	Indicator	Scale
2009).	possessed by a person to carry out activities.		
Volition (Vol) (Ahinful et al., 2019; Dalci et al., 2013)	Actions are taken by individuals (students) consciously to determine, select and defend their behavior that involves mental changes to achieve learning goals.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Objectives to be achieved</li> <li>Reasons for choosing a program</li> <li>Pressure</li> <li>Independence in learning</li> </ol>	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)
Gender (Gen) (Alanzi, 2018; Feldman et al., 2016; Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2018a, 2020)	Biological differences are coded by using dummy variables.	Students Gender (if Female =1, Male = 0)	Nominal
Parental Style (PS) (Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2018)	Specific parental behavior shapes children's behavior and development during parenting, whether in authoritarian, permissive, authoritative, or other forms.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authoritarian</li> <li>Authorization</li> <li>Permissive</li> <li>Inattentive</li> </ol>	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)
Quality of Learning (QL) (Li et al., 2020; Longobardi et al., 2018; Praetorius et al., 2018)	Quality or effectiveness in achieving learning objectives measured by student's optimal learning outcomes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Classroom management</li> <li>Student support</li> <li>Knowledge Activation</li> </ol>	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)
<u>Control Variable:</u>			
Grade (Gr)	The grade is the level or semester at which the student is enrolled	Level/semester	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)
Academic Interest (AI) (Ahinful et al., 2019)	Academic interest is a student's interest in accounting study programs related to activities or objects of interest with positive emotional experiences and personal value systems.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accounting is not the primary choice.</li> <li>The intensity of studying accounting</li> <li>Discomfort in reading accounting courses</li> </ol>	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)

Variables	Conceptual Definition	Indicator	Scale
Learning Attitude (LA) (Ahinful et al., 2019)	Learning attitude is an attitude that is formed within students towards accounting courses.	1. Time to read accounting lessons 2. Learn something new (accounting) 3. The effort to read accounting lessons	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)
Preparedness (P) (Arquero et al., 2009; Byrne & Flood, 2005)	Preparedness is a student's readiness during lectures, both psychologically and physically.	1. Initiative and activity planning 2. Responsibility 3. Self-confidence 4. Comfort 5. Willing to cooperate 6. Ability to evaluate	Ordinal Likert (1 - 5)
Age (A) (Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2018a)	Maturity level in thinking, behaving and acting from students.	Student's age	Interval
Not Work (NW)	Employment status is a condition that indicates the status of the student in his/her primary job.	If not work = 1, other = 0	Nominal
Time (T)	The choice of time is chosen by students when studying in the Accounting program.	If morning = 1, other = 0	Nominal
Career Choice (CC)	The choice of student accounting profession after completing studies of undergraduate Accounting	Scoring the number of choice of the accounting profession from high to low with a range of 5 - 1	Interval

The authors used the Probit Regression Model (PRM) to examine the determinant of the performance of undergraduate accounting students. It is a model of the binary dependent variable and the development of the Logit Regression Model. Binary dependent variables are an example of a finite dependent variable (Wooldridge, 2018). The authors specified an analysis model to test the relationship between variables by adapting the model developed (Glewwe et al., 2011; Glewwe & Kremer, 2005) and completing it with the model of (Ahinful et al., 2019; Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2020) so that the equation of the probit model is written as follows:

$$P_i = P(SP = 1|X) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Exp + \beta_2 Mot + \beta_3 Vol + \beta_4 Gen + \beta_5 PS + \beta_6 QL + \beta_7 Gr + \beta_8 AI + \beta_9 LA + \beta_{10} Prep + \beta_{11} A + \beta_{12} NW + \beta_{13} t + \beta_{14} CC + \varepsilon$$

.....(Equation 1)

Furthermore, the authors tested the effect of student expectations, gender, learning quality, and control variables on student performance by using the Wald Test and the Likelihood Ratio. We also estimated the average marginal effect of each

variable to find out how much each change in the predictor variable affects the predicted variable (Ghozali, 2016; Wooldridge, 2018). The goodness of Fit testing model is used to test the feasibility of the model whether the model matches the data used in the study (Hair Jr et al., 2014). The next step is to determine the best model using Pseudo R2 or R2 McFadden so that the model can explain the data.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

After collecting the data by using a questionnaire, the result of the research was described. There were 331 students' questionnaires in the undergraduate program of Accounting at Tangerang. The data were classified into gender, age, college, year of entry, and career choice. Table 2 below showed that the category of gender. The result showed 90 male students (27.19%) and 241 female students (72.81%). It means female students were dominant in the undergraduate program of Accounting. Furthermore, respondents aged between 19 - 23 years were dominated by 87.92% of respondents. For more details, see table 2 below:

**Table 2. The Classification of Samples into Gender, Age, College, Year of Entry, and Career Choice**

Characteristics	Types	Total	Percentages
Gender	Male	71	21.45%
	Female	260	78.55%
Age	< 19 years	11	3.32%
	19 - 23 years	291	87.92%
	> 23 years	29	8.76%
College	UNIS	114	34.44%
	UMT	217	65.56%
Year of entry	2015/2016	4	1.21%
	2016/2017	30	9.06%
	2017/2018	166	50.15%
	2018/2019	64	19.34%
	2019/2020	67	20.24%
Carrier choice	Educator Accountant	21	6.34%
	Government Accountant	100	30.21%
	Public Accountant	60	18.13%
	Firm Accountant	142	42.90%
	Other	8	2.42%

Table 2 also showed that the respondents of this research are students of Muhammadiyah Tangerang University (UMT) and Islamic University of Syekh-Yusuf (UNIS) Tangerang. There were 217 (65.56%) students at UMT and 117 (34.44%) students at UNIS. The number of respondents between the universities is not proportional because the population is not balanced. The number of students in each university is different. Furthermore, the research samples were chosen based on the category of career after graduating from the Accounting Program. Students who have planned to be Corporate/Firm Accountants in the future were the most chosen as samples of research. It can be seen that there was 42.90%. Then, the least amount chosen profession being Education Accountant and others were 6.34% and 2.42%.



Statistical description illustrated the result of each research variable that covered the main variables such as students' performance, expectations, gender, learning quality, and control variables. The researcher described the main variables employed in the research included the discussion of the result briefly. It is described in the following Table 3.

**Table 3. Statistical Description**

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
prob_sp	331	0,498489	0,500755	0	1
sp	331	48,03779	7,268446	30,6	67,11
exp	331	30,91975	4,932319	15,63078	41,12825
mot	331	42,94903	6,857997	26,24432	58,84187
vol	331	24,08451	4,724213	11,77499	34,17598
gen	331	0,728097	0,445614	0	1
ps	331	50,085	10,10271	29,07308	68,16389
ql	331	121,3454	18,40421	51,77492	161,5903
p	331	31,37215	5,50925	14,45612	42,56096
ai	331	10,77936	2,290524	5,672105	14,7754
la	331	9,870439	2,243912	3,858251	13,73899
a	331	21,17825	2,78768	17	48
gr	331	3,522659	0,82127	2	6
t	331	0,598187	0,491007	0	1
cc	331	4,02719	1,15831	1	5

### Financial Target and Fraudulent Financial Reporting

The data analysis, 331 students as the respondent, explained descriptively into mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) in each variable. Based on the Table 3, students' performance variable (SP) got the value: [M=48,04, SD=7,27]. The binary variable of students' performance in the undergraduate program of Accounting (prob\_sp) obtained the value of [M=0498, SD=0.50]. It meant that the gains of students' performance were categorized medium level due to this average value between [37,27 - 51,72]. Meanwhile, the number of students belonging to the good category was 49.85%, if their performance were categorized as two groups (binary).

The expectation variable produced an average value and standard deviation of [M=30.92, SD=4.93]. It meant that the level of students' expectation in achieving their goals after graduating from the Accounting Program was 68,71%. It meant that the score was in a large category. Furthermore, the average value and standard deviation of gender category (Gen) were [M=0.728, SD=0.445]. It can be inferred that there are more female students than male students in the Accounting Study Program at higher education in Tangerang. The result showed female students consist of 72,81%. Then, the last primary variable analyzed was learning quality (QL) produced an average value and standard deviation [M=121,35, SD=18,40]. The calculation result illustrated that the quality of learning in Higher education at Tangerang was quite good at 76.5%.

The first step taken by the authors was data transformation from ordinal scale to interval data. It was intended to reduce outlier data. Normality test was not employed, but it used probit binary regression model or standard model. Heteroscedasticity testing was not employed because research variables related to qualitative variables (latent) or categorical (non-metric) variables. Therefore, the classical assumption test is



used only the multicollinearity. The authors employed the criteria for the value of coefficient  $r > 0.80$ . It means there was no multicollinearity problem in the regression model. While the result of the test showed that the coefficient correlation value of the entire relationship between independent variables was  $r < 0,80$ , so it showed that the regression model is free of multicollinearity.

The Wald test partially examines the effect of the expectation, gender, quality of learning, and control variables on the students' performance. The test criterion is the significance value  $< 0,05$ . The variable of expectation, gender, learning quality, and control variables can partially influence students' performance in the undergraduate program of Accounting at Tangerang Municipality. Then, the result of the Wald test is illustrated in the following Table 4.

**Table 4. Regression Results (Dependent Variable: Students' Performance)**

Variable	Probit	Robust Probit
Expectation (Exp)	0.053*** (0.016)	0.044*** (0.017)
Motivation (Mot)	-0.005 (0.012)	-0.005 (0.012)
Volition (Vol)	0.014 (0.016)	0.014 (0.016)
Gender (Gen)	-0.068 (0.168)	-0.067 (0.170)
Parental Style (PS)	0.011 (0.013)	0.011 (0.012)
Quality of Learning (QL)	0.011 * (0.006)	0.011 * (0.006)
Preparedness (Prep)	-0.016 (0.021)	-0.016 (0.021)
Academic Interest (AI)	0.044 (0.045)	0.044 (0.045)
Learning Attitude (LA)	0.022 (0.036)	0.026 (0.036)
Age (A)	0.066** (0.032)	0.066** (0.029)
Grade (Gr)	-0.112 (0.911)	-0.113 (0.091)
Not Work (NW)	-0.365** (0.166)	-0.365** (0.164)
Time (T)	0.331** (0.170)	0.325** (0.167)
Career Choice (CC)	0.044 (0.065)	0.044 (0.061)
Constanta	-4.838***	-4.838***
Obs	331	331
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.1152	0.1152
LR chi2(18)	52.88	52.97
Prob > ch2	0.0000	0.0000

Note: \*  $< 0.1$ , \*\*  $< 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $< 0.01$

Based on Table 4 above showed that the usage of the probit regression model significantly influenced the probabilities to improve students' performance in the undergraduate program of Accounting at Tangerang Municipality. Specifically, it significantly influenced the main variables; expectation and learning quality variables. Meanwhile, control variables that significantly affected the probability to improve students' performance were age variables and time duration of learning at the college. The coefficient value of expectation variable (Exp) was 0.043 positive and value alpha significantly = 0.009 < 0.1. It meant that students' expectations improved students' performance in the undergraduate program of Accounting at Tangerang. The level of significance showed 1%.

The estimation result produced the coefficient value of gender variable (Gen) of 0.092 negative and the significant value of alpha 0.590 > 0.05. It indicated that gender variables affected the possibilities of decreasing students' performance at Tangerang. However, it was not significant statistically in 1%, 5%, and 10%. Meanwhile, the learning quality variable (QL) obtained a positive coefficient value of 0.011 and a significant value of 0.073 alpha < 0.1. These results inferred that the learning quality variable influenced positive possibility in improving Accounting students' performance at Tangerang with a significant level of 10%.

The goodness of fit test was used to determine whether there was a significant difference between the results of the observations and the possibilities of the result prediction model. The criterion of the test was set. If the value probabilities of Goodness of Fit Test Pearson > 0.05, it meant the probit regression model was feasible. The test was different from the Omnibus test, which required the significance value was below 0.05. The results of the Hosmer and Lemeshow test can be seen in the following Table 5.

**Table 5. Hosmer and Lemeshow test**

Type test	Chi-Square	df	Sig
Pearson Goodness of Fit Test	326.91	331	0.2696

According to the estimation result illustrated in table 4 above, the statistical value of goodness of fit Pearson was 326.91 of df=331, and the value of probabilities alpha was 0.2696 > 0.05. It inferred that the prediction results of the binary probit model were in accordance or that there was no significant difference between the prediction results and the research data. Both results of the estimation probit model above produced an R2 value as small pseudo R2, unlike the OLS model, which was 0.1149. Therefore this result showed the level of accuracy of the efficient model.

Furthermore, the robust binary model was employed to give reinforcement to the probit binary model. It was caused by the estimation result, which is proven similar to the coefficient value in which it was relatively increased, and the standard error was relatively reduced. Probit binary robust model eliminated the heteroscedasticity problem. If the prediction accuracy uses a model with sensitivity and specificity, often called the chance of success (y = 1) and failure (y = 0), it will get the probability value greater than 0.05. The result was 66.26% of the total 100%. It means the overall model could predict and successfully explain the improvement of students' performance by 66.26%. Thus, the model was good.

In interpreting the probit model, firstly, the authors investigated the calculation of marginal effect on this research. According to the six primary and control variables, there were only two significant variables; the expectation variable (Exp) and the learning quality variable (QL). It can be proven from the calculation result that;

<http://doi.org/10.25273/jap.v10i2.8634>

expectation variable (Exp) was 30.92, and the learning quality (QL) was 121.35. The total marginal effect obtained was 4.8756, and the z statistics value was 0.9998, assuming the variable was fixed. In determining the amount of total marginal effects so the probability can be calculated  $p = 1 - 0.9998 = 0.0002$ . This rate can be inferred that the students' opportunity to improve their performance in the Accounting study program at Tangerang was 0.02%, calculated from expectation and learning quality variables. It can be illustrated clearly the Table 6 below.

**Table 6. The result of the Marginal Effect**

Variables	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P>z	Nilai Z	Peluang
exp	0.017835	0.006596	2.70	0.007	0.9965	0.35%
mot	-0.002010	0.004899	-0.41	0.682	0.2611	73.89%
vol	0.005497	0.006396	0.86	0.390	0.8051	19.49%
gen	-0.027040	0.067026	-0.40	0.687	0.3446	65.54%
ps	0.004421	0.005011	0.88	0.378	0.8106	18.94%
ql	0.004466	0.002411	1.85	0.064	0.9678	3.22%
p	-0.006205	0.008398	-0.74	0.460	0.2269	77.31%
ai	0.017711	0.017899	0.99	0.322	0.8389	16.11%
la	0.008580	0.014205	0.60	0.546	0.7257	27.43%
a	0.026357	0.012893	2.04	0.041	0.9793	2.07%
nw	-0.145609	0.066330	-2.20	0.028	0.0139	98.61%
t	0.132277	0.067929	1.95	0.052	0.9744	2.56%
gr	-0.044878	0.036371	-1.23	0.217	0.1093	89.07%
cc	0.017680	0.025853	0.68	0.494	0.7517	24.83%

Based on table 6 above, the result of the marginal effect calculation showed that the average value of the expectation variable was 30.92 per unit. It means the probability of students improving their performance in the undergraduate program of Accounting at Tangerang was 0.0178 or 0.35%. It can be inferred that the higher the students' expectation of success in the future, the higher the possibilities for students to improve their performance with the requirement that other variables were fixed. The learning quality variable produced the positive coefficient value insignificant was 1%, and the marginal effect value was 0.004. It means that students' possibility of improving their performance would increase to 0.004, more significant than 3.22%, if the average value of learning quality were 121.34. These results can be inferred that better learning quality in the classroom encourages students to get the chance to improve higher performance in which with the constant other variables. Motivation, volition, gender, and parental style variables were not significantly giving students opportunities to improve their performance. The discussion of another result will be explained in the following discussion.

### The Association Between Expectation and Students' Performance

The results showed that expectations had a significant effect on the performance of undergraduate accounting students in Tangerang Municipality. Students, who have a higher expectation in the future, will get the opportunity to improve their performance. The result of the research showed that there was a significant influence of students' expectations toward students' performance. It means the higher expectation



of students to be an accountant in the future, the more they will improve their performance when learning in the undergraduate program of Accounting at Tangerang was based on the *ceteris paribus* assumption.

The result of the research was relevant with the previous research that there was a significant correlation between students' expectations at the undergraduate program of Accounting and their academic performance (Ahinful et al., 2019; Guney, 2009). It means the accounting students should have a higher expectation in achieving their performance when the learning process occurs in the college. Thus, it supports students to get their expecting jobs in the future. In another previous study by Alindra (2015), the result found that students' expectations are dimensions of organizational culture individually, which significantly influenced their performance. However, the result of the research was irrelevant to the previous research that higher students' expectations insignificantly have a correlation with students' performance (Arquero et al., 2009; Byrne & Flood, 2008; Eikner & Montondon, 2001). Students with advanced ability have higher expectations of learning or general skills learning outcomes (Smith et al., 2018). It means those previous researches unconfirmed the result of this research.

These result illustrated that the implication of expectations become the requirement for students. It can be beneficial as guidance in making valuable decisions and actions. Higher educations can facilitate students in developing better performance. Thus, the expectations are set in appropriate and sensible actions to complete the students' assignments to achieve the expected result. Furthermore, students with higher expectations can encourage their quality, intensity, and persistent behavior to fit better academic performance (Ahinful et al., 2019). This finding was clearly in line with the expectation theory that the desired compensation was directly proportional to the performance. The strong influence of these expectations can prevent students in Tangerang from uncertain conditions, thereby increasing the student's need for a sense of security. Students could manifest high confidence in their performance achievements as an award or provision in the accounting profession in the future. Therefore, (Ahinful et al., 2019) stated that social capital, family, community, and the ability of educators to communicate clearly to students about future opportunities to pursue the accounting profession are more likely to have positive results because students can create the expectations needed. It drives force for success after students graduate from the Accounting study program.

### **The Association Between Gender and Students' Performance**

These results illustrated that female student did not have more excellent performance than male students. Then, these results also added inconsistency to the findings of research that examine the relation of gender and student' performance. The difference in the measurement of gender variables, especially by using a nominal scale, was one of the sources of inconsistent research findings. Even though gender was the differences in characteristics, culture, or individual behavior between males and females.

Consequently, the result of the research did not confirm the previous study that gender variables significantly contribute toward the improvement of students' performance (Alanzi, 2018; Fallan & Opstad, 2014; Papageorgiou & Callaghan, 2018a; Wijaya & Amah, 2012). Moreover, Aditya & Hasibuan (2020) and Guney (2009) stated that gender differences would impact students' academic performance, including career choices and learning motivation. Those differences are not only based on the academic side but also on determining the learning method to improve their performance. Then, irrelevant another study founded by Al Mamun (2019) concluded

that the performance of female accounting students was better than the performance of male students and the Management Accounting course. However, the result of the research is supported by (Feldman et al., 2016; Kukreja & Al Ali, 2013) that there was not the correlation significantly toward gender differences in improving the performance of accounting students.

This finding illustrated that female students in the Accounting study program in Tangerang Municipality had the same opportunities as male students to improve their performance. However, compared to women, male students had an advantage in achieving non-academic achievement awards, including sports competitions and the arts. While on the other hand, female students also had advantages in the sensitivity to changes in performance and critical thinking skills after studying Accounting. Although gender was not significant in improving student performance, students had their respective advantages in encouraging their performance during the learning process. It would be a valuable provision for both male and female students when they work as accountants in the future. These results had also provided a straightforward situation, that universities in Tangerang had also opened up opportunities for all students to achieve better achievements regardless of gender.

### **The Association Between Quality of Learning and Students' Performance**

The current study showed that learning quality significantly influenced the performance of accounting students' at Tangerang. Research findings confirmed that the previous research stated that instructional quality was significantly correlated with academic achievement (Fauth et al., 2014; Kunter et al., 2013). Another previous research related to this finding was that learning' personalization focused on teacher training significantly improved students' achievement regardless of the level of academic achievement at the beginning at college (Pane et al., 2017). Furthermore, the educators' competence in managing learning strategies and some features of the learning environment (constructivism, transfer) contributed positively to developing students' performance (Kistner et al., 2010). Finally, quality of learning significantly affected the improvement of student competence in accounting (Helm, 2015). The results of the research also confirmed the previous research by (Parsons et al., 2020). They concluded that the activities of a learning program and teachers' quality were the best method to improve graduates' accounting skills (students).

This finding was not relevant to previous research, which found that learning management in the school was not statistically significant to influence the result of education (Glewwe et al., 2011). The results of previous studies also showed that time management in class and the quality of learning from the dimensions of classroom management also did not have a significant correlation with the increase in students' competence in the accounting program (Helm, 2015). Teaching quality was proven insignificant effect on reading and mathematics learning outcomes (Stipek & Chiatovich, 2017). Although it was useful in illustrating that teacher has an important role, these researches did not help identify effective teachers before examining student learning outcomes, and they did not provide information about effective and ineffective teaching practices. Meanwhile, face-to-face learning approaches reduced students' performance (Daly & de Moira, 2010).

This finding was consistent with the "Three Basic Dimensions (classroom management, student support, and cognitive activation)" theory in learning and previous research. Previous teachers have argued that improving the quality of learning in the classroom was essential to achieving students' learning. It was caused;

lecturer or students have a strategic role in delivering the materials, employing the appropriate method, designing an exciting and fun atmosphere in the teaching and learning process, and providing direct assessment to students. Intense interaction between teacher and students, as an additional value in improving students' performance so that students can get the knowledge, skills, and good behavior created by the teacher when teaching and learning process in the classroom. One of the challenges in the process of teaching and learning directly, limitation face to face learning, is to make sure that students know what they should be doing and what outputs are expected. It needs frequent and well-planned communication. However, if the communication does not run well, it will have a negative impact on students (Parsons et al., 2020). This finding also supported the theory that the quality of class organization depends on the quality of educators and students in the class. Educators can build a positive emotional atmosphere in the classroom, encourage students to participate actively in the learning process, and show greater interest, enthusiasm, and effort (Longobardi et al., 2018) to achieve learning objectives.

The research findings indicated that students of the Accounting study program had perceived the quality of learning at the Tangerang City College as a determinant in improving their performance. The students supported the creation of classroom management initiated by lecturers. They have also supported every learning activity by attending online and offline lectures, doing lectures, and asking lecturers. In addition, they were also able to create good communication with lecturers and fellow students in the classroom. Group discussion in solving problems was well established. Students were not awkward in conveying problems that hindered lectures. Therefore, universities should maintain the quality of learning that is already quite good because it is proven to improve student performance. The "Three Basic Dimension" theories in learning should be the foundation for higher education in producing better student performance.

## CONCLUSION

This study aims to empirically examine the effect of expectations, gender, learning quality, and control variables on the performance of undergraduate accounting students. The result of the research showed that the expectations contributed positively and meaningfully to changing students' performance. It meant that the higher students' expectations to gain success as an accountant in the future build higher possibilities to improve their performance. Given that student expectations were the most potent variable in determining their performance, students should always maintain and increase it. Meanwhile, gender had no significant effect on the students' performance. In other words, female students of accounting majors did not contribute meaningfully to changing their performance. In addition, the quality of learning had a significant effect on students' performance. It meant that building learning quality better could contribute to improving students' performance. It indicates that organizing the teaching and learning process in the classroom was needed. It could encourage good and meaningful interaction between students and students, teacher and students, and as a result, it can enhance students' knowledge, ability, and attitude.

There were several limitations in this study, including using social media as a medium for distributing questionnaires. The Google form application for the questionnaire instrument made it challenging to control the data. The researcher found difficulty in case of incomplete answers or responses from respondents. Another limitation of this research was that the author uses a population of two universities in



Tangerang Municipality, so the generalization area was relatively limited. The author was very limited in setting population criteria. Then, there were the necessary variables to determine students' performance, such as personality and family socioeconomic variables, but the authors did not use them. The authors found the main variables that were not significant in influencing students' performance, namely the gender variable. It was due to the measurement of the gender variable using a nominal scale. Measurement of gender variables would be better to use a larger scale and include aspects of gender traits, attitudes, and behaviors.

Based on the research findings, the authors hope that future research can re-examine a non-significant variable, namely the gender variable. It aims to produce a consistent effect of gender variables on student performance. Therefore, further research is suggested to use these variables and add other variables such as personality, the family's socioeconomic status, and emotional intelligence. Besides, the authors suggested expanding the target population so that a broader generalization region can be investigated. The use of the probit model requires the independent variable to be a dummy variable (only two categories). Therefore, further research is the usage of the variant model, such as the multinomial probit analysis.

## REFERENCES

- Aditya, R. M., & Hasibuan, B. A. (2020). Pengaruh Persepsi , Gender dan Tipe Kepribadian Mahasiswa Terhadap Pemilihan Karir Mahasiswa Akuntansi sebagai Akuntan Publik ( Studi Kasus pada Mahasiswa Akuntansi Universitas Darma Persada ). *Wacana Ekonomi (Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi)*, 19(1), 43–57. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.22225/we.19.1.1579.43-57>
- Ahinful, G. S., Tauringana, V., Bansah, E. A., & Essuman, D. (2019). Determinants of academic performance of accounting students in Ghanaian secondary and tertiary education institutions. *Accounting Education*, 28(6), 553–581. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2019.1679204>
- Al Mamun, M. A. (2019). Assessing the Gender Effects on Students ' Accounting Course Performance in Bangladesh: A Bangladesh University of Business & Technology case study. *Journal of Business*, 04(01), 1–8. <https://doi.org/DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.18533/job.v4i1.112>
- Alanzi, K. A. (2018). Female accounting students and their academic performance: evidence from Kuwait. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 9(2), 662–672. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-10-2016-0128>
- Alindra, A. I. (2015). Analisis Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Depok Sports Center. *E-Journal Student UNY*, 1(2), 1–10. <http://journal.student.uny.ac.id/ojs/index.php/ikora/article/view/2814/2385>
- Arquero, J. L., Byrne, M., Flood, B., & Gonzalez, J. M. (2009). Motives, expectations, preparedness, and Academic Performance: A study of students of accounting at a Spanish university. *Revista de Contabilidad-Spanish Accounting Review*, 12(2), 279–299. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1138-4891\(09\)70009-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1138-4891(09)70009-3)
- Arumsari, D. (2017). Pengaruh Media Pembelajaran Dan Keterampilan Pengelolaan Kelas Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Siswa SMK Negeri 5 Madiun. *Assets: Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Pendidikan*, 6(1), 13. <https://doi.org/10.25273/jap.v6i1.1290>
- Bakre, O. M., & Lauwo, S. (2016). Privatisation and accountability in a “crony capitalist” Nigerian state. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 39(2015), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2016.01.003>
- Buri, J. R. (1991). Cross-Method Convergence of Well-Being Measures Further



- Validation of the Satisfaction LVith Life Scale : Evidence for the Cross-Method Convergence of Well-Being Measures. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 57(1), 110-119. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa5701\\_13](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa5701_13)
- Byrne, M., & Flood, B. (2005). A study of accounting students' motives, expectations and preparedness for higher education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 29(2), 111-124. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098770500103176>
- Byrne, M., & Flood, B. (2008). Examining the relationships among background variables and academic performance of first year accounting students at an Irish University. *Journal of Accounting Education*, 26(4), 202-212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2009.02.001>
- Dalci, İ., Araslı, H., Tümer, M., & Baradarani, S. (2013). Factors that influence Iranian students' decision to choose accounting major. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 3(2), 145-163. <https://doi.org/10.1108/20421161311288866>
- Daly, A. L., & de Moira, A. P. (2010). Students' approaches to learning and their performance in the Extended Project pilot. *Curriculum Journal*, 21(2), 179-200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2010.480839>
- Eikner, A. E., & Montondon, L. (2001). Evidence on Factors Associated with Success in Intermediate Accounting I. *Accounting Educators' Journal*, 13, 1-17. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/61e5/55dee92b223ee5f8ad26079fdbf8d3bc030f.pdf>
- Fallan, L., & Opstad, L. (2014). Beyond gender performance in accounting: Does personality distinction matter? *Accounting Education*, 23(4), 343-361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2014.930693>
- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Rieser, S., Klieme, E., & Büttner, G. (2014). Student ratings of teaching quality in primary school: Dimensions and prediction of student outcomes. *Learning and Instruction*, 29, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.07.001>
- Feldman, G., Chandrashekar, S. P., & Wong, K. F. E. (2016). The freedom to excel: Belief in free will predicts better academic performance. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 90, 377-383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.11.043>
- Ghozali, I. (2016). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariat dengan Prgram IBM SPSS 23* (P. P. Harto (ed.); Ketujuh). Universitas Diponegoro.
- Glewwe, P., Hanushek, E., Humpage, S., & Ravina, R. (2011). School Resources and Educational Outcomes in Developing Countries: A Review of the Literature from 1990 to 2010. NBER Working Paper No. 17554. (No. 17554; NBER Working Paper). <http://www.nber.org/papers/w17554%0ANATIONAL>
- Glewwe, P., & Kremer, M. (2005). Schools, Teachers, and Education Outcomes in Developing Countries Paul Glewwe. In E. A. Hanushek & F. Welch (Eds.), *Second draft of chapter for Handbook on the Economics of Education* (p. 943 - 1017). Elsevier. <https://www.givewell.org/files/DWDA2009/Interventions/EconEducationHandbook.pdf>
- Gracia, L., & Jenkins, E. (2002). An exploration of student failure on an undergraduate accounting programme of study. *Accounting Education*, 11(1), 93-107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639280210153290>
- Guney, Y. (2009). Exogenous and endogenous factors influencing students' performance in undergraduate accounting modules. *Accounting Education*, 18(1), 51-73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639280701740142>
- Hair Jr, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis (Seventh)*. Pearson Education Ltd.
- Helm, C. (2015). Determinants of competence development in accounting in upper <http://doi.org/10.25273/jap.v10i2.8634>



- secondary education. *Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training*, 7(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40461-015-0022-8>
- Kistner, S., Rakoczy, K., Otto, B., Dignath-van Ewijk, C., Büttner, G., & Klieme, E. (2010). Promotion of self-regulated learning in classrooms: Investigating frequency, quality, and consequences for student performance. *Metacognition and Learning*, 5(2), 157–171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-010-9055-3>
- Kukreja, G., & Al Ali, M. H. (2013). The Determinants of Students' Performance in Introductory Accounting Courses: Evidence from Kingdom of Bahrain. *Journal of Emerging Issues in Economics, Finance and Banking (JEIEFB)*, 1(3), 183–201. [www.globalbizresearch.com](http://www.globalbizresearch.com)
- Kunter, M., Klusmann, U., Baumert, J., Richter, D., Voss, T., & Hachfeld, A. (2013). Professional competence of teachers: Effects on instructional quality and student development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105(3), 805–820. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032583>
- Li, H., Liu, J., & Hunter, C. V. (2020). A Meta-Analysis of the Factor Structure of the Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS). *Journal of Experimental Education*, 88(2), 265–287. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2018.1551184>
- Litasari, B. A., & Pustikaningsih, A. (2019). Factors affecting accounting learning achievement. *Jurnal Pendidikan Akuntansi Indonesia*, XVII(2), 113–120. <https://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/jpakun/article/view/22057/11429>
- Longobardi, C., Pasta, T., Marengo, D., Prino, L. E., & Settanni, M. (2018). Measuring Quality of Classroom Interactions in Italian Primary School: Structural Validity of the CLASS K–3. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 88(1), 103–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2018.1533795>
- Pane, J., Steiner, E., Baird, M., Hamilton, L., & Pane, J. (2017). How Does Personalized Learning Affect Student Achievement? In Resercah brief (RB-9994-BMGF; Researc Brief). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7249/RB9994>
- Papageorgiou, E. (2017). Accounting students' profile versus academic performance: A five-year analysis. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 31(3), 209–229. <https://doi.org/10.20853/31-3-1064>
- Papageorgiou, E., & Callaghan, C. W. (2018a). Parental style and gendered student performance in the South African context of accounting studies. *Accounting Education*, 27(5), 456–478. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2017.1340167>
- Papageorgiou, E., & Callaghan, C. W. (2018b). Personality and adjustment in South African higher education accounting studies. *South African Journal of Accounting Research*, 32(2–3), 189–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10291954.2018.1442649>
- Papageorgiou, E., & Callaghan, C. W. (2020). Accountancy learning skills and student performance in accounting education: evidence from the South African context. *Accounting Education*, 29(2), 205–228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2020.1719426>
- Parsons, S., Davidowitz, B., & Maughan, P. (2020). Developing professional competence in accounting graduates: An action research study. *South African Journal of Accounting Research*, 34(2), 161–181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10291954.2020.1727080>
- Praetorius, A. K., Klieme, E., Herbert, B., & Pinger, P. (2018). Generic dimensions of teaching quality: the German framework of Three Basic Dimensions. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 50(3), 407–426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-018-0918-4>



- Smith, B., Maguire, W., & Han, H. H. (2018). Generic skills in accounting: perspectives of Chinese postgraduate students. *Accounting and Finance*, 58(2), 535-559. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12219>
- Stipek, D., & Chiatovich, T. (2017). The effect of instructional quality on low- and high-performing students. *Psychology in the Schools*, 54(8), 773-791. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22034>
- Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan : Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D* (25th ed.). Alfabeta.
- Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. Wiley.
- Wijaya, A. L., & Amah, N. (2012). Determinan prestasi akademik mahasiswa kelas pengantar bisnis. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Sistem Informasi*, 9(1), 103-113. <http://ejurnal.unisri.ac.id/index.php/Akuntansi/article/view/526/459>
- Winaryati, E. (2018). Penilaian Kompetensi Siswa Abad 21. *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Sains Dan Teknologi*, 6-19. <https://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/article/view/4070/3782>
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2018). *Econometrics Introductory* (A. Verma, E. Belova, E. Crist, & K. Jean (eds.); Seventh). Cengage.
- Yuniarti, T., Widhianningrum, P., & Sulistyowati, N. W. (2020). A Study of Accounting Learning Achievements Using Emotional Intelligence and Learning Behavior. *Assets: Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Pendidikan*, 9(1), 52-60. <https://doi.org/10.25273/jap.v9i1.4179>